Pure & Appl. Chem., Vol.52, pp.2477-2484.
Pergamon Press Ltd. 1980. Printed in Great Britain.
© IUPAC

MULTIPLE STRUCTURES FROM A MACRO BICYCLIZATION REACTION -- THE RICH CHEMISTRY OF THE JÄGER MACROCYCLES

Daryle H. Busch

Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Abstract - The well developed synthetic system for preparation of a highly versatile family of \underline{dry} \underline{cave} ligands has yielded two other very different families of equally interesting ligands. The \underline{dry} \underline{cave} ligands are designed to provide a protected coordination site for such small molecules as CO and O₂. The second family of ligands is related to the first by dimerization and the resulting complexes contain two identical metal ions separated by an intramolecular void. An intramolecular tautomerization reaction of the coordinated \underline{dry} \underline{cave} ligand produces a unique family of clathro-chelate structures in which the metal ion is totally encapsulated by a hexadentate ligand, containing azomethine donor groups.

The development of the chemistry of complexes with macrocyclic ligands has received continuing impetus from the biochemistry of such macrocycle-containing systems as heme proteins, vitamin B_{12} and siderophores and ionophores. Our interest in mimicking the various functions of the heme proteins has been further stimulated by the compelling potential applications of controlled oxidation reactions of organic molecules. Studies in a number of laboratories on substituted porphyrins have clearly shown that appended structural components (superstructure) can serve, in place of the protein, to control the chemistry at the active site in the heme protein. We have recently reported (1) a very promising new series of bicyclic $\underline{\text{dry}}$ cave ligands (structure 1) that has been designed to provide a protected cavity for the binding of such small molecules as 0_2 and 0_2 . The ligands resemble porphrins only in that they contain four nitrogen donors

 $R_1 = (CH_2)_n$, n = 4-8, m-, p-xylyl, etc.

 $R_2 = H$, CH_3 , alkyl, $-CH_2C_6H_5$

 $R_3 = H$, CH_3 , alkyl, C_6H_5 , etc.

x, y = 2 or 3

1.

and a macrocycle. In contrast to porphyrins, the ring size may be varied and the ligand is neutral in charge and non-aromatic.

The cobalt(II) complexes formed by the new ligands are exceptionally effective 0_2 carriers (2,3). For simplicity in discussion, ligands of structure 1 will be represented by listing in succession R_3 , R_2 , R_1 . For example: CH_3 , CH_3 , CH_2 , represents structure 1 with vinyl and nitrogen methyl groups and a hexamethylene bridge. Unless otherwise specified, x = y = 3. Table 1 lists values of P_{50} for materials with which it is appropriate to compare the new 0_2 carriers. The cobalt complex of the \underline{dry} cave ligand has a greater 0_2 affinity than any previously characterized cobalt species. Further, it is comparable to iron-myoglobin in 0_2 affinity, whereas coboglobin itself has a lesser affinity by about two orders of magnitude.

TABLE 1. P₅₀ (25°, Torr) for Selected O₂ Carriers

Compound	Pso
Iron-myoglobin	0.7
Cobalt-myoglobin Cobalt-protoporphyrin-IX ^a	57. 17,800.
Cobalt-picket fence porphyrina Cobalt-(CH ₃ ,CH ₃ ,(CH ₂) ₆ -dry cave) ^b	140.

^aIn toluene solution, N-methylimidazole axial ligand.

It has also been shown that the 0_2 affinities of the <u>dry cave</u> complexes can be varied over a very large range by the structural changes implicit in Structure 1 (3).

The study of the <u>dry cave</u> complexes has included other metal ions, especially iron, copper and manganese, but they will not be discussed here. Attention is to be focused on the remarkable richness of the synthetic systems that have yielded the dry cave ligands.

Structural studies have revealed that the bridging nitrogen atoms are trigonal planar and this gives rise to the possibility of an unusual kind of stereoisomerism (1,2). Referring back to structure 1, it is useful to direct one's view of the structure toward the edge of the NiN₄ plane so that an unsaturated ring appears above the plane. This produces the projections in structures 2a and 2b. As shown, the bridge group R_1 can be attached to the N-bond that is

approximately perpendicular to the macrocycle plane, thus placing the bridge essentially just above the metal ion (2a, lid-on isomer), or the bridge may be attached to the N-bond approximately parallel to the NN4 plane, placing the bridge to one side of the metal ion (2b, lid-off isomer). Both isomeric structures have been observed. Depending on both the precise natures of the substituents and on the conditions used in the second cyclization step, a closely related dimeric ligand may be formed (structure 3, profile view, after the style of structure 2).

2a. 2b. profile view, after the style of structure 2).
This species is of much interest since it contains two metal ions bound in a single ligand (4,5). These modes of isomerism do not exhaust the rich ligand chemistry of these systems. Under appropriate conditions, certain of the ligands

can rearrange into clathro-chelate ligands (for the first such structure see ref. 6) that fully encapsulate the coordinated metal ion (structure 4). Intermediate structures are known in which only one iminoethylidene group has undergone rearrangement.

^bAqueous solution, N-methylimidazole axial ligand, extrapolated value.

GENERAL SYNTHETIC PROCEDURES FOR THE DRY CAVE LIGANDS

The extremely general syntheses described here depended on the development of a reagent that was well suited to the bicyclization reaction. The reagent (7,8) is the novel methoxyethylidene-substituted macrocycle (structure 6) first reported from these laboratories several years ago. It is formed by the reaction of the well-known Jäger complex (structure 5) with a strong methylating agent, equation 1. This reagent undergoes a variety of reactions, the

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

most important being the substitution of the methoxy group by various amino groups (equation 2). This reaction closely parallels amide formation by carboxylate esters and may

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & &$$

be rationalized on the basis of the electron withdrawing effect of the metal ion on the vinyl group. The ring closure reactions are naturally efficient because of the conformation of the methoxyethylidene reagent (8,9). Looking to structure 6, the unsaturated chelate rings rise up on the same side of the NiN, plane (x-ray structure, ref. 7) and the saturated chelate rings both project on the other side of the same plane. The resulting saddle shape is ideally suited to the bicyclization reaction. The reaction is generally quite efficient, giving yields in the range 60-90%, when carried out by adding .02M diamine solution dropwise to a .02M solution of the methoxyethylidene complex. Any isomers remain unseparated at this point. High dilution techniques (e.g., slow addition via a peristaltic pump of dilute solutions to a large solvent volume) improve the yields but are needed only in difficult cases. Depending on the availability of reagents, the bicyclization may be more conveniently carried out using a modified regime. As shown in Scheme 1, the methoxyethylidene reagent may first be converted to the bis-alkylamine derivatives and these may then be used in ring closures with various ditosylates or similar reagents.

Scheme 1

THE DIMERIC BIMETALLIC COMPLEXES

At least one-third of the ring closures studied gave evidence of isomers. In several cases, these were separated and characterized by the usual methods. In all cases, the isomers are not interconvertible and extensive studies have failed to show evidence for the occurrence of both the $\underline{\text{lid-on}}$ and $\underline{\text{lid-off}}$ isomers for a single specific ligand. A total of seven crystal structures have been solved for compounds containing the $\underline{\text{dry}}$ cave ligands (ref. 1,2) and unpublished results). The $\underline{\text{lid-on}}$ structure was found for only one of these $[Fe(CH_3,H,m-xylyl)Cl]PF_6$; the $\underline{\text{lid-off}}$ isomer is the predominant structure for $\underline{\text{dry cave}}$ complexes (10).

It is important to recognize this point that the isomerism in question arises from dimerization. Thus, depending on conditions, the bicyclization reaction will produce varying amounts of the monomeric dry cave complex of structure 1 and of the dimeric bimetallic complex of structure 3. This monomer, dimer isomerism has been observed for the ligands characterized by R_3 , R_2 , R_1 as follows: CH_3 , H_3 , $(CH_2)_n$ (CH_3 , CH_3 ,

CH₃,H,C₇F1 (for parent diamine see structure 7). Certain ligands gave only the monomeric $\frac{dry}{CH_3},C_6H_5CH_2,m-xylyl; CH_3,CH_3,m-xylyl; CH_3,CH_2)_n(n=4-8).$ Others gave only the dimeric bimetallic isomer: CH₃,CH₃ $(CH_2)_3$; CH_3 , CH_3 , P-xylyl; CH_3 , H, Uryl; CH_3 , CH_3 , Uryl. It was possible to classify all of the isomeric structures into either of two types on the basis of chromatographic and solubility behavior and certain features of their nmr spectra. The ultimate proof of the source of the isomerism involved the x-ray structure determination on the dimeric m-xylyl

derivative of nickel (II) (10). Classical molecular weight determinations and related inferential methods were investigated extensively but failed, mainly because of the highly charged electrolyte types involved. It should be emphasized that electrical conductance measurements on dilute solutions including Onsager graphs fail to distinguish adequately between these dimers and monomers. Separate proof of the dimeric structure was achieved by specific synthesis of two of the dimers by the route given in equations 3 and 4.

OCH₃

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & &$$

In a number of cases, the separation of the monomer and dimer is conveniently accomplished by fractional crystallization. The dimer commonly separates first from acetonitrile-ethanol solution and is easily purified. The more soluble monomer may be difficult to obtain in pure form, especially if it is much less abundant than the dimer. Chloride or bromide salts of the isomeric mixtures are readily separated by CM-Sephadex column chromatography using aqueous solutions. The tetrapositive dimer is held on the ion exchange column much more strongly than the dipositive monomer. It follows that this chromatographic behavior may also be used to assign structure (dimer versus monomer). Reverse phase HPLC behavior has also been developed as a criterion for identifying these isomers.

The $\underline{lid-on}$, $\underline{lid-off}$ nomenclature can also be applied to the orientation of the linking groups in the dimeric complexes. The x-ray structure of the dimeric m-xylene-linked nickel (II) complex shows these linkages to be in the $\underline{lid-on}$ locations. This is implied in structure 3 and is generally expected on the simplest \overline{of} steric grounds. The formation of the dimer brings very bulky groups into juxtaposition and it has been shown that bulky groups favor the $\underline{lid-on}$ position (8). This has been combined with a specific feature of the $\underline{^{13}C}$ nmr spectra to provide a very convenient preliminary identification technique for the isomers in cases where R_2 and R_3 are both CH_3 . For the $\underline{lid-on}$ dimers, the resonances for these two carbon atoms are separated by about 5-6 ppm and occur near 15-16 and 20-21 ppm from TMS. In contrast, the $\underline{lid-off}$ monomers show resonances that fall within one ppm of each other and often overlap, at about 20-21 ppm. The single monomer that has the $\underline{lid-on}$ structure provides a critical test for this correlation. For a monomer, it does indeed show an unusually large separation in these CH_3 resonances, which occur at 20.7 and 14.4 ppm.

NEUTRAL DERIVATIVES OF THE DRY CAVE AND BIMETALLIC COMPLEXES

The dry cave complexes for which R_2 = H are readily doubly deprotonated (equation 5) to give

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\$$

neutral molecules containing structure 8. The deprotonation occurs at the bridge nitrogens; the site of deprotonation was demonstrated using derivatives of nonbridging amines (8). The bridged complexes for which $R_1 = (CH_2)_4$ and m-xylyl serve to illustrate the behavior of these species. The infrared spectra show the loss of the hydrogen from nitrogen by disappearance of the N-H absorption from the 3400 cm⁻¹ region. Molar conductance shows the species to be nonelectrolytes. Molecular weights were obtained both by osmometry and from the parent peaks in the mass spectra of the neutral derivatives. The neutral m-xylyl bridged complex was treated with methyl fluorosulfonate and the product was shown to contain the methylated bridge nitrogens as expected. The neutral complexes are an interesting new family of compounds in their own right; however, they have been very useful as intermediates in the synthesis of selected dry cave and dimeric bimetallic complexes and as derivatives which can be used to prove the molecular weights of those other complexes.

8.

CLATHRO-CHELATE DERIVATIVES OF IRON (II)

When a nitrogen base, such as imidazole or pyridine, is made available to a high spin, 5-coordinate, chloro complex of iron (II), the usual expectation is displacement of the chloride by the nitrogen base and, when possible, addition of a second mole of the base to form a low spin, 6-coordinate complex. Those monomeric $\underline{\text{dry cave}}$ complexes for which R_2 is H (i.e., those containing secondary amines in the bridge), react quite differently. In fact, they undergo a tautomerization reaction to form complexes having struct $\underline{}$ 9. This transformation is illustrated for conceptual purposes in equation 6. Although predicted some time

Base (py, MIm, Et₃N)

CH₃OH,
$$\Delta$$

H

(6)

ago (11), only a few clathro-chelates are known and these are of relatively recent origin (6,12-14). Characteristically, they have been synthesized by template reactions with the ligating atoms already in place. Once formed, the clathro-chelate ligand cannot be easily displaced from the metal ion and therefore is not available for separation and subsequent chelation to a different metal ion. The present case is unique in that an Intramolecular ligand structural rearrangement leads to formation of the clathro-chelate. In fact, the ligand is synthesized as a $\frac{dry}{dry}$ cave ligand on nickel, removed, chelated to iron (II) as a $\frac{dry}{dry}$ cave ligand and then rearranged in situ to the clathro-chelate: The cryptates of Lehn (15) are close analogs of the clathro-chelates but differ because of their commodious interiors and extreme flexibility which permit addition to and removal from certain metal ions without alteration in ligand structure.

The ligands of structure 4 are closely related to the sexadentate chelates (structure 9) formed by the electrophilic addition of acetonitrile to the unsubstituted Jäger macrocycles

(16,17). This reaction is shown in equation 7. It is significant that the imine groups in structure 9 occupy mutually cis positions. The newly formed imine functions in the clathro-

chelate (structure 4) must occupy <u>cis</u> positions and the bridge of the <u>dry cave</u> precursor pre-orients the groups appropriately. The complexes of structure 9 provided essential information for the facile characterization of the new clathro-chelates. Clathro-chelates have been derived from the <u>dry cave</u> ligands: CH_3 , H, $(CH_2)_5$; CH_3 , H, $(CH_2)_6$; and CH_3 , H, C, FI; and the unbridged complex CH_3 , H, $(CH_3)_2$. The complexes of structure 4 contain low spin, 6-coordinate iron (II) and a sexadentate ligand that has six unconjugated azomethine groups. Infrared spectroscopy signals the rearrangement by disappearance of the NH stretching mode and by alterations in the spectral region characteristic of C=N groups. New bands occur in the 1005-1640 cm⁻¹ range. Proton nmr confirms structure 4. The bridgehead proton and the adjacent imine carbon proton (H-C=N-) couple and appear as doublets at about 5.7 and 8.7 ppm (from TMS), respectively, with 11H-1H about 5 Hz. This and other nmr features are closely similar to those of the previously characterized complexes having structure 9.

The electronic spectra contain typical metal to ligand ($M \rightarrow \pi^*$) charge transfer bands with their colors arising from a band at about 450 nm with a molar extinction coefficient of greater than 6000 and a high energy shoulder at about 400 nm. A second absorption occurs near 300 nm. These are typical charge transfer transitions for low spin iron (II) complexes with imine donors (e.g., $Fe(bipy)_3^{2+}$). The bands for the clathro-chelate derivatives occur at somewhat lower energies than was found for the analogous species of structure 9 (around 420 nm). For the iron complexes of structure 4, the most dramatic change accompanying the intramolecular rearrangement is the change in oxidation-reduction properties. The Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} couple for the $\frac{dry}{dry}$ cave complexes generally appears at around -0.400V $\frac{dry}{dry}$ Ag/Ag⁺ in CH₃CN. In contrast, the clathro-chelates exhibit Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} couples at potentials near +0.380V. This change of some 0.8V represents a great stabilization of iron (II) with respect to oxidation. Consequently, the clathro-chelate complexes are indefinitely stable in the air while the parent $\frac{dry}{dry}$ cave complexes react with oxygen, as they were designed to do.

PRODUCTS OF PARTIAL REARRANGEMENT

For certain ligands or under certain circumstances, only one side of the $\frac{dry}{(CH_3,H,m-xylyl)}$ cave ligand undergoes the tautomeric rearrangement. The m-xylene bridged species $[Fe(\overline{CH_3,H,m-xylyl})(1]^+$ undergoes this partial rearrangement. Because of the steric limitations of the inflexible bridging group, only one of the nitrogen atoms from the bridge can be brought into the coordination sphere. The complex contains low spin iron (II) and chloride ion acts as the sixth ligand. The infrared and proton nmr spectra contain features typical of both structures 1 and 4, as would be expected. The unbridged complex $(CH_3,H,(CH_3)_2)$ can be caused to undergo partial rearrangement of the corresponding pentadentate ligand by subjecting its CO derivative to rearrangement conditions (equation 8) the CO stretching frequency occurs at 1989 cm⁻¹.

The Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ couple for the partially rearranged m-xylene derivative occurs at -0.25V causing it and its homologs to be slightly less sensitive to oxygen than their dry cave counterparts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work summarized herein is that of my graduate students and postdoctoral associates, both present and former. The financial support of the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. The generous invitation of the organizers of this symposium is greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- 1. W.P. Schrammel, K.S.B. Mertes, G.G. Christoph, and D.H. Busch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 1622-1623 (1979).
- 2. J.C. Stevens, P.J. Jackson, W.P. Schammel, G.G. Christoph, and D.H. Busch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 3283-3285 (1980).
- 3. J.C. Stevens, and D.H. Busch, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>102</u>, 3285-3287 (1980).
 4. J.P. Collman, C.M. Elliott, T.R. Halbert, and B.S. Tovrog, <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</u>, <u>USA</u>, <u>74</u>, 18-22 (1977).
 5. J.P. Collman, M. Marrocco, P. Denisevich, C. Kovel, and F.C. Anson, <u>J. Electroanal. Chem.</u>,
- 101, 117 (1979).
- D.R. Boston and N.J. Rose, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>90</u>, 6859-6860 (1968); S.C. Jackels and N.J. Rose, <u>Inorg. Chem.</u>, <u>12</u>, 1232-1237 (1973).
 P.W.R. Corfield, J.D. Mokren, C.J. Hipp, and D.H. Busch, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>95</u>, 4465-
- 4466 (1973).

- 8. W.P. Schammel, L.L. Zimmer, and D.H. Busch, <u>Inorg. Chem.</u>, in press.
 9. W.P. Schammel, Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1975.
 10. L.L. Zimmer, Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1979.
 11. D.H. Busch, <u>Rec. Chem. Progress</u>, <u>25</u>, 107-126 (1964).
 12. J.E. Parks, <u>B.E. Wagner</u>, and R.H. Holm, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>92</u>, 3500-3502 (1970).
- 13. V.L. Goedken and S.M. Peng, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 62.

 14. I.I. Creaser, J. MacB. Harrowfield, A.J. Hertl, A.M. Sargeson, J. Springborg, R.J. Geue, and M.R. Snow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 3181-3182 (1977).
- A.I. Popov and J.-M. Lehn, Coordination Chemistry of Macrocyclic Compounds, Ed. by G.A. Melson, P. 537-602, Plenum Press, New York and London, (1979).
 K. Bowman, D.P. Riley, D.H. Busch, and P.W.R. Corfield, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 5036-5038
- (1975).
- 17. D.P. Riley, J.A. Stone, and D.H. Busch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 767-777 (1976).