

Thermochemistry of alkylated uracils in aqueous solutions. Enthalpies of hydration of 3-alkyluracils

W. Zielenkiewicz, A. Zielenkiewicz, K.L. Wierzchowski *

Institute of Physical Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kasprzaka 44/52; 01-224 Warsaw, Poland

*Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Rakowiecka 36, 02-532 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract - Enthalpies of solution in water, $\Delta H_{\text{sol}}^{\circ}$, and enthalpies of sublimation, $\Delta H_{\text{sub}}^{\circ}$, were determined experimentally for a number of crystalline derivatives of uracil: 1,3,5,6-tetramethyluracil, 1,6-dimethyl-3-ethyluracil, 1,6-dimethyl-3-propyluracil 1,6-dimethyl-3-butyluracil. Standard enthalpies of hydration, $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$, derived from these data were corrected for the calculated cavity terms, $\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$, to yield enthalpies of interaction, $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$, of the solutes with their hydration shell. The latter are discussed together with those for variously methylated uracils, obtained previously. The data obtained show that dependence of $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$ on the number of methylene groups added upon substitution with 3-n-alkyl groups of the diketopyrimidine ring, is nonlinear.

INTRODUCTION

Nucleoside bases play an important role in organization of the structure of nucleic acids. Methyl and other alkyl groups on pyrimidine and purine bases are known to contribute significantly to stacking interactions between both purine and pyrimidine (ref.1-4) bases in aqueous solutions and thus to attainment of biologically active conformations by nucleic acids.

However, little was known experimentally about energy and thermodynamics of hydration in aqueous solution. We initiated thus couple years ago systematic thermochemical studies (refs.5,6) to determine enthalpies of solute-water interaction, $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$. The latter were calculated from experimental values of enthalpies of sublimation $\Delta H_{\text{subl}}^{\circ}$,

enthalpies of solution $\Delta H_{\text{sol}}^{\circ}$ and semiempirically determined enthalpies of cavity formation in liquid water $\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$. The present work is an extension of our previous investigations towards 3-alkyluracils with the aim to supplement earlier data on the effect of larger alkyl groups at C(5) and C(6) ring carbons on solvation properties of the diketopyrimidine ring (refs. 5,15).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The compounds studied: 1,3,5,6-tetramethyluracil ($m_4^{1,3,5,6}\text{Ura}$), 1,6-dimethyl-3-ethyluracil ($m_2^{1,6e3}\text{Ura}$), 1,6-dimethyl-3-propyluracil ($m_2^{1,6p3}\text{Ura}$), 1,6-dimethyl-3-butyluracil ($m_2^{1,6b3}\text{Ura}$) were synthesized by Prof.dr M. Dрамиński, Military School of Medicine (Łódź, Poland) and purified by repeated crystallization and vacuum sublimation. Their purity was checked by melting point determinations using a Du Pont DSC 910 calorimeter and thermal analyzer type 1090B and chromatographic analysis in several solvent systems. All the compounds proved to be at least 99% pure. The solutions were prepared by weight using degassed and deionized distilled water.

Enthalpies of solution were determined, as it was described previously (ref.5), with use of an isoperibol calorimeter of 80 cm³ vessel equipped by two 150 Ω thermistors and placed in water thermostat (with an accuracy better than 0.001°C). The calorimeter was calibrated by the electric Joule effect and by determination of the heat of solution of KCl in water. Values of the enthalpy of solution obtained for a series of solute concentrations were numerically extrapolated back to an infinitely diluted solution to yield $\Delta H_{\text{sol}}^{\circ}$. Enthalpies of sublimation were carried out by Knudsen effusion method, described previously (ref.7).

The enthalpy of cavity formation, $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$, were evaluated according to general formula derived by Sinagöglu (ref.8):

$$\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ} = k_1^e (\Phi_{1B}^{-1/3}) S_B \gamma \left[1 - \frac{\delta \ln \gamma_1}{\delta \ln T} - 2/3 \alpha_{1B} T \right] \quad (1)$$

where γ_1 is the macroscopic surface tension of the solvent, S_B is solvent - accessible area of the solute, α_{1B} - the coefficient of thermal

expansion appropriate to the cavity and closely approximated (ref. 9) by the solvent expansion coefficient, $k_1^e(\phi_{1B}^{-1/3}) = 1 + \phi_{1B}^{2/3} (k_1^e/1 - 1)$ is a constant, dependent on the volume fraction $\phi_{1B} = V_1/V_B$. The following values of the solvent constants were used: $V_1 = 29.8 \text{ \AA}^3$, $\alpha_{1B} = 0.235 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $k^e(1) = 1.277$, $\gamma_1 = 72 \text{ dyne cm}^{-1}$ at 25°C .

Water accessible molecular area S_B was calculated, similarly as in the case of 2-alkyl-9-methyladenines (ref.10), by the method of static steric accesibility of atoms developed by Lavery et al (ref.11). The geometry of 3-alkyluracils was generated using mean values for bond lengths and angles from crystallographic data bases as compiled in (refs.1,12). Hydrogen atoms were added assuming C-H distance of 1.09 \AA and tetrahedral bond angles. Cartesian coordinates were obtained using EUKLID program (Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, program N-452). The mean values of solvent accesibilities obtained with radii of attacking sphere at 1.2 \AA and 1.4 \AA were taken. The Van der Waals volumes V_B were calculated using the program GEOPOL version 12.0 described by Silla et al. (ref.13).

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The experimental enthalpies of solution, $\Delta H_{\text{sol}}^\circ$, sublimation, $\Delta H_{\text{subl}}^\circ$, and fusion, ΔH_f° , for 1,6-dimethyl-3-alkyluracils are collected in Table 1. The enthalpies of solution and sublimation determine the enthalpy of hydration, $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^\circ = \Delta H_{\text{sol}}^\circ - \Delta H_{\text{subl}}^\circ$, corresponding to the

Table 1 Enthalpies of solution, $\Delta H_{\text{sol}}^\circ$, enthalpies of sublimation, $\Delta H_{\text{subl}}^\circ$, and enthalpies of fusion, ΔH_f° .

Compound	$\Delta H_{\text{sol}}^\circ$ kJ mol ⁻¹	$\Delta H_{\text{subl}}^\circ$ kJ mol ⁻¹	ΔH_f° kJ mol ⁻¹
$m_2^{1,6e3}$ Ura	10.15(0.4+1.3)	77.1(307.9+313.9)	28.3
$m_2^{1,6p3}$ Ura	12.80(0.8+2.0)	118.5(312.0-315.8)	31.9
$m_2^{1,6b3}$ Ura	10.80(0.5+1.3)	91.6(310.5-316.7)	28.1
$m_4^{1,3,5,6}$ Ura	10.23(0.8+1.7)	103.9(316.8-321.8)	24.6

Enthalpies of sublimation were measured over the temperature range indicated in parantheses whilst enthalpies of solution in water were determined at 25°C by measurements of heat of solution in the range of solute molalities mmol kg⁻¹ shown in parantheses.

process of transfer of one mole of the solute from the gas phase to the infinitely diluted solution. The enthalpy of hydration, $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$, contains thus the cavity term, $\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$. The calculated values of $\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$ and then $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ} = \Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ} - \Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$, for the compounds studied as well as for 1,3-dimethyluracil ($m_2^{1,3}\text{Ura}$), 1,3,6-trimethyluracil ($m_3^{1,3,6}\text{Ura}$), for which the enthalpies hydration were determined previously (ref. 5). are given in Table 2.

Table 2 Water - accesible molecular areas S_B and volumes V_B and enthalpies of hydration $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$, enthalpies of cavity formation $\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$ and enthalpies of interaction $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$

Compound	n_{CH_2}	S_B	V_B	$-\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$	$\Delta H_{\text{cav}}^{\circ}$	$-\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$
		\AA^2	\AA^3			
$m_2^{1,3}\text{Ura}$	2	73.5	115.9	86.0 ^a	56.5	142.5
$m_3^{1,3,6}\text{Ura}$	3	78.4	135.7	94.4 ^a	59.7	154.1
$m_4^{1,3,5,6}\text{Ura}$	4	82.8	152.0	93.7	62.7	156.4
$m_2^{1,6e3}\text{Ura}$	4	83.9	152.1	66.9	63.4	130.3
$m_2^{1,6p3}\text{Ura}$	5	91.6	173.0	105.7	68.7	174.4
$m_2^{1,6b3}\text{Ura}$	6	98.7	181.7	80.8	73.9	154.7

^afrom (ref. 6)

As it was shown earlier each methyl substitution brings about an increase in the enthalpy of interaction. In the series of 5-alkyluracils contribution of successive CH_2 groups to $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$ decreased monotonically (ref.5). In the presently studied series of 3-alkyluracils elongation of n-alkyl chain by successive CH_2 groups is first accompanied by an increase in $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$ values, which then vary in an erratic way. Namely, the two parameters decrease on going from $m_3^{1,3,6}\text{Ura}$ to $m_2^{1,6e3}\text{Ura}$, increase for $m_2^{1,6p3}\text{Ura}$ and finally again decrease for the last member in the series i.e. $m^{1,6b3}\text{Ura}$. Very similar relation between $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$ has been observed by us for 6-alkyluracils (unpublished). All this indicates that contribution of n-alkyl chains to

$\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{hydr}}^{\circ}$ is not additive. However, as it has been demonstrated by Cp_2° and V_2° determinations (ref.14), the contribution to $\Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\circ}$ from Van der Waals interaction is almost additive. We can thus conclude therefrom, that the effect observed comes for the most part from variation of largely electrostatic in nature water-solute interaction. In order to get an insight into the underlying variation in the hydration scheme of the diketopyrimidine ring, expected to be influenced by conformation of substituted n-alkyl chains, further thermodynamical and NMR spectroscopic studies will be attempted.

REFERENCES

1. H. Vogel ed. *Nucleic Acid-Protein Recognition*, Academic Press, New York (1977).
2. J.D. Engel and P.H. von Hippel, *J. Biol. Chem.* **927**, 253 (1978).
3. P.O.P. Tso, ed., *Basic Principles of Nucleic Acid Chemistry*, p.537, Academic Press, New York (1974).
4. E. Plesiewicz, E. Stępień, K. Bolewska and K.L. Wierzchowski, *Biophys. Chem.* **4**, 131 (1976).
5. A.B. Teplitsky, O.T. Glukhova, L.F. Sukhodub, K.J. Yanson, A. Zielenkiewicz, W. Zielenkiewicz, J. Kosiński and K.L. Wierzchowski *Biophys. Chem.* **15**, 139 (1982).
6. A.B. Teplitsky, J.K. Yanson, O.T. Glukhova, A. Zielenkiewicz, W. Zielenkiewicz and K.L. Wierzchowski, *Biophys. Chem.*, **11**, 17-21 (1980).
7. M. Kamiński and W. Zielenkiewicz, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., sér. sci. chim.* **35**, 583 (1987).
8. O. Sinanoglu, in *Molecular Association in Biology*, ed. B. Pullman, Academic Press, New York (1968).
9. T. Halocioglu and O. Sinanoglu, *N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **158**, 308 (1969).
10. A. Zielenkiewicz, W. Zielenkiewicz, L.F. Sukhodub, O.T. Glukhova and K.L. Wierzchowski, *Acta Bioch. Polonica* **14**, 158 (1987).
11. R. Lavery, A. Pullman and B. Pullman, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **20**, 46 (1981).
12. F.M. Allen, A. Kennad and R. Raylor, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **16**, 146 (1983).
13. E. Silla, J. Tunon and J.L. Pascual-Ahuir, *J. Comp. Chem.* **12**, 1877 (1991).
14. W. Zielenkiewicz, A. Zielenkiewicz, J.-P.E. Grolier, A.H. Roux and G. Roux-Desgranges, *J. Sol. Chem.* **21**, 1-13 (1992).
15. M. Żółkiewski and W. Zielenkiewicz, *J. Sol. Chem.* **20**, 517-530 (1991).