INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PURE AND APPLIED CHEMISTRY

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY DIVISION COMMISSION ON ELECTROANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY*

POTENTIOMETRIC SELECTIVITY COEFFICIENTS OF ION-SELECTIVE ELECTRODES

PART I. INORGANIC CATIONS

(Technical Report)

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Prepared for publication by} \\ \textit{YOSHIO UMEZAWA}^1, \textit{PHILIPPE BÜHLMANN}^1, KAYOKO UMEZAWA}^2, \textit{KOJI TOHDA}^1, \\ \textit{AND SHIGERU AMEMIYA}^1 \end{array}$

¹Department of Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo, Japan; ²Department of Chemistry, Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Tokyo, Japan

*Membership of the Commission during the preparation of the report (1995–1999) was as follows:

Chairman: R. P. Buck (USA, 1991–1999); Secretary: K. Tóth (Hungary, 1991–1995); S. Rondinini-Cavallari (Italy, 1995–1997); W. Kutner (Poland, 1998–1999); Titular Members: M. F. Camões (Portugal, 1996–1999); M. L'Her (France, 1991–1995); W. Kutner (Poland, 1996–1999); E. Lindner (Hungary, 1998–1999); S. Rondinini-Cavallari (Italy, 1992–1999); K. Stulík (Czech Republic, 1989–1997); Y. Umezawa (Japan, 1992–1999); Associate Members: A. M. Bond (Australia, 1989–1997); K. Cammann (Germany, 1989–1995); M. F. Camões (Portugal, 1987–1995); A. G. Fogg (UK, 1987–1997); L. Gorton, (Sweden, 1994–1999); W. R. Heineman (USA, 1991–1995); S. Kihara (Japan, 1992–1999); W. F. Koch (USA, 1991–1995); W. Kutner (Poland, 1989–1995); E. Lindner (Hungary, 1995–1997); R. Naumann (Germany, 1996–1999); K. W. Pratt (USA, 1996–1999); K. Stulík (Czech Republic, 1998–1999); K. E. Wang (China, 1987–1995); J. Wang (USA, 1992–1999); National Representatives: D. Bustin (Slovakia, 1994–1999); A. Covington (UK, 1988–1999); D. R. Groot (Republic of South Africa, 1994–1999); I. R. Gutz (Brazil, 1994–1999); S. S. M. Hassan (Egypt, 1994–1999); J-M. Kauffmann (Belgium, 1992–1999); F. Kadirgan (Turkey, 1994–1997); H. Kim (Republic of Korea, 1994–1999); P. Spitzer (Germany, 1998–1999); H. P. van Leeuwen (The Netherlands, 1994–1999); Y. Vlasov (Russia, 1996–1999).

Names of countries given after Members' names are in accordance with the *IUPAC Handbook 1998–1999* (Blackwell Science, Ltd).

Republication or reproduction of this report or its storage and/or dissemination by electronic means is permitted without the need for formal IUPAC permission on condition that an acknowledgment, with full reference to the source along with use of the copyright symbol ©, the name IUPAC, and the year of publication, are prominently visible. Publication of a translation into another language is subject to the additional condition of prior approval from the relevant IUPAC National Adhering Organization.

Potentiometric selectivity coefficients of ion-selective electrodes

Part I. Inorganic Cations

(Technical Report)

Abstract: Potentiometric selectivity coefficients, $K_{A,B}^{pot}$, have been collected for ionophore-based ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) for inorganic cations reported from 1988–1997. In addition to numerical values of $K_{A,B}^{pot}$, together with the methods and conditions for their determination, response slopes, linear concentration ranges, chemical compositions, and ionophore structures for the ISE membranes are tabulated.

INTRODUCTION

An earlier IUPAC data compilation of potentiometric selectivity coefficients, $K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}}$, for ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) was published in 1979 in *Pure and Applied Chemistry* [1]. It covered $K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}}$ data reported during 1966–1977 and was later followed by another extensive compilation of such data in a handbook from CRC Press [2]. The latter covered most of the $K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}}$ data reported during the years 1966–1988. An updated compilation reported in 1998 was limited to a number of particularly selective ionophores [3], which are lipophilic complexing agents that are incorporated into ISE membranes to selectively and reversibly bind analyte ions.

This paper presents the latest compilation of $K_{A,B}^{pot}$ data for liquid-membrane, inorganic-cation ISEs based on neutral and charged ionophores, reported between 1989 and the end of 1997. Moreover, this new compilation also contains some older data that had not been included in the CRC handbook. The presented $K_{A,B}^{pot}$ data are listed together with the methods and conditions for their determinations; also tabulated are response slopes, linear ranges, chemical compositions, and ionophore structures for the corresponding ISE membranes. This report constitutes the first part in a series. The second and third part, which will be published separately in forthcoming issues of *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, will cover ISEs for inorganic anions and organic ions, respectively.

METHODS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF POTENTIOMETRIC SELECTIVITY COEFFICIENTS [4–7]

Potentiometric selectivity coefficients can be measured with different methods that fall into two main groups, namely (1) mixed solution methods, and (2) separate solution methods. The most commonly used approach is the fixed interference method, which is a mixed solution method. This method was recommended by IUPAC in 1975 [4], but other approaches have also been frequently employed. The details of the definition of each method are given below.

The potentiometric selectivity coefficients are expressed according to the Nicolsky-Eisenman equation as

$$E = E_0 + R T / (z_A F) \ln \left[a_A + \sum_B K_{A,B}^{pot} (a_B)^{z_A/z_B} \right]$$
 (1)

where E is the measured potential; E_0 is a constant that includes the standard potential of the electrode, the reference electrode potential, and the junction potential; z_A and z_B are charge numbers of the primary ion, A, and of the interfering ion, B; a_A and a_B are the activities of the primary ion, A, and the

interfering ion, B; and $K_{A,B}^{pot}$ is the potentiometric selectivity coefficient for the primary ion A against the interfering ion, B. R, T, and F have the usual meanings. If $K_{A,B}^{pot}$ is larger than 1, the ISE responds to the interfering ions more selectively than to the primary ions. In most cases, $K_{A,B}^{pot}$ is smaller than 1, which means that such ISEs respond to the primary ions more selectively than to interfering ions.

The Nicolsky–Eisenman equation assumes a Nernstian response not only for the primary ion but also for the interfering ion. Obviously, $K_{\rm A,B}^{\rm pot}$ is assumed to be constant. Several experimental methods for the determination of potentiometric selectivity coefficients are based on this equation. These methods will be explained in some detail in the following section. Notably, the Nicolsky–Eisenman equation does not correctly describe responses in the activity range in which primary and interfering ions of a different charge significantly contribute to the potential. More complex equations must be applied to describe correctly such mixed ion responses [8]. Among the four mixed solution methods, the matched potential method is unique in that it depends neither on the Nicolsky–Eisenman equation nor on any of its modifications; this method was recommended in 1995 by IUPAC as a method that gives analytically relevant practical $K_{\rm AB}^{\rm pot}$ values [6].

Mixed solution methods

Fixed interference method (FIM)

The electromotive force (emf) of a cell comprising an ion-selective electrode and a reference electrode (ISE cell) is measured for solutions of constant activity of the interfering ion, $a_{\rm B}$, and varying activity of the primary ion, $a_{\rm A}$. The emf values obtained are plotted vs. the logarithm of the activity of the primary ion. The intersection of the extrapolated linear portions of this plot indicates the value of $a_{\rm A}$ that is to be used to calculate $K_{\rm A,B}^{\rm pot}$ from the following equation:

$$K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}} = a_{\text{A}} / \left(a_{\text{B}}\right)^{z_{\text{A}}/z_{\text{B}}} \tag{2}$$

where both $z_{\rm A}$ and $z_{\rm B}$ have the same signs, positive or negative.

Fixed primary ion method (FPM)

The emf of a cell comprising an ion-selective electrode and a reference electrode (ISE cell) is measured for solutions of constant activity of the primary ion, a_A , and varying activity of the interfering ion, a_B . The emf values obtained are plotted vs. the logarithm of the activity of the interfering ion. The intersection of the extrapolated linear portions of this plot indicates the value of a_B that is to be used to calculate $K_{A,B}^{pot}$ from the following equation:

$$K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}} = a_{A} / (a_{B})^{Z} A^{/Z} B$$

$$\tag{3}$$

Two solution method (TSM)

This method involves measuring potentials of a pure solution of the primary ion, E_A , and a mixed solution containing the primary and interfering ions, E_{A+B} . The potentiometric selectivity coefficient is calculated by inserting the value of the potential difference, $\Delta E = E_{A+B} - E_A$, into the following equation:

$$K_{AB}^{\text{pot}} = a_{A} (e^{\Delta E z_{A} F / (R T)} - 1) / (a_{B})^{z_{A}/z_{B}}$$
 (4)

Matched potential method (MPM)

This method does not depend on the Nicolsky–Eisenman equation at all. In this method, the potentiometric selectivity coefficient is defined as the activity ratio of primary and interfering ions that give the same potential change under identical conditions. At first, a known activity (a_A) of the primary ion solution is added into a reference solution that contains a fixed activity (a_A) of primary ions, and the corresponding potential change (ΔE) is recorded. Next, a solution of an interfering ion is added to the

reference solution until the same potential change (ΔE) is recorded. The change in potential produced at the constant background of the primary ion must be the same in both cases.

$$K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}} = (a_{A}' - a_{A}) / a_{B}$$
 (5)

Separate solution methods

Separate solution method $(a_A = a_B)$ (SSM)

The potential of a cell comprising an ion-selective electrode and a reference electrode is measured with two separate solutions, one containing the ion A at the activity a_A (but no B), the other one containing the ion B at the same activity $a_A = a_B$ (but no A). If the measured values are E_A and E_B , respectively, the value of is calculated from the equation:

$$\lg K_{A,B}^{pot} = \frac{(E_{B} - E_{A}) z_{A} F}{R T \ln 10} + (1 - z_{A}/z_{B}) \lg a_{A}$$
(6)

which is equivalent to

$$K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}} = a_{A}^{(1-z_{A}/z_{B})} e^{(E_{B}-E_{A}) z_{A} F / (R T)}$$
 (7)

Separate solution method $(E_A = E_B)$ [SSM $(E_A = E_B)$]

The $\log a$ vs E relations of an ISE for the primary and interfering ions are obtained independently. Then, the activities that correspond to the same electrode potential value are used to determine the $K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}}$ value.

$$K_{A,B}^{\text{pot}} = a_{A} / (a_{B})^{Z} A^{/Z} B$$

$$\tag{8}$$

ABBREVIATIONS

A complete list of abbreviations that are used in the following tables is given below.

AcCh⁺ acetylcholine

BBPA bis(1-butylpentyl) adipate BEHS bis(2-ethylhexyl) sebacate

 $c_{
m dl}$ detection limit

CHEMFET chemically modified field effect transistor

CP chloroparaffin
CWE coated wire electrode
DBE dibenzyl ether
DBS dibutyl sebacate
DBP dibutyl phthalate

2,3-DMNB 2,3-dimethylnitrobenzene DOA bis(2-ethylhexyl) adipate

DOP bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate {'dioctyl phthalate'}

DOPP dioctyl phenylphosphonate
DOS bis(n-octyl) sebacate
DPE diphenyl ether
emf electromotive force

ETH 500 tetradodecylammonium tetrakis(4-chlorophenyl)borate

ETH 5373 *o*-nitrophenyl dihydrophythyl ether

FIA flow-injection analysis FIM fixed interference method

FNDPE 2-fluorophenyl 2-nitrophenyl ether

FPM fixed primary ion method ISE ion-selective electrode

ISFET ion-sensitive field effect transistor

KTFPB potassium tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate

KTmClPB potassium tetrakis(2-chlorophenyl)borate

KTPB potassium tetraphenylborate

KTpClPB potassium tetrakis(4-chlorophenyl)borate

 $M mol dm^{-3}$

MPM matched potential method MSM mixed solution method

N Nernstian

NaTFPB sodium tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate

NaTpClPB sodium tetrakis(4-chlorophenyl)borate

nN near-Nernstian pNP 4-nonylphenol

oNPOE 2-nitrophenyl octyl ether oNPPE 2-nitrophenyl phenyl ether

 $P_{\text{O/W}}$ partition coefficient, P, of the ionophore between 1-octanol and water P_{TLC} $P_{\text{O/W}}$ as estimated experimentally by use of thin-layer chromatography

PVC poly(vinyl chloride)

PVC-COOH poly(vinyl chloride) carboxylated PVC-NH₂ poly(vinyl chloride) aminated

r.o.o.g. read-out of graph (where data in original paper were in graphical rather than

numerical form)

SSM separate solution method (to be used for $a_A = a_B$ method) SSM ($E_A = E_B$) separate solution method (to be used for $E_A = E_B$ method)

au life time t_{resp} response time

 t_{90} , t_{95} time that elapses between the instant at which an ISE and a reference electrode are

brought into contact with a new sample solution and the instant at which the potential has changed to a value corresponding to 90% or 95%, respectively, of the

activity change

TDDMA⁺ tridodecylmethylammonium

TDDMACl tridodecylmethylammonium chloride

TEHP tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate
TOPO trioctylphosphine oxide
TSM two solution method

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by Monbusho (Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan) for Publication of Scientific Research Results. We thank Kang Ping Xiao, Noriaki Kato, Hiroshi Okuyama, Qin Hang Jin, Tsuyoshi Amano, and Yuhki Uchiya for their help in this data compilation.

REFERENCES

1. E. Pungor, K. Tóth, A. Hrabéczy-Páll. Pure Appl. Chem. 51, 1913–1980 (1979).

© 2000 IUPAC, Pure and Applied Chemistry 72, 1851–2082

- 2. Y. Umezawa (Ed.). *Handbook of Ion-Selective Electrodes: Selectivity Coefficients*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1990).
- 3. P. Bühlmann, E. Pretsch, E. Bakker. Chem. Rev. 98, 1593–1687 (1998).
- 4. G. G. Guilbault, R. A. Durst, M. S. Frant, H. Freiser, E. H. Hansen, T. S. Light, E. Pungor, G. Rechnitz, N. M. Rice, T. J. Rohm, W. Simon, J. D. R. Thomas. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **46**, 127–132 (1976).
- 5. R. P. Buck and E. Lindner. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **66**, 2528–2536 (1994).
- 6. Y. Umezawa, K. Umezawa, H. Sato. Pure Appl. Chem. 67, 508-518 (1995).
- 7. J. Inczédy, T. Lengyel, A. M. Ure. *Compendium of Analytical Nomenclature*, Blackwell Science, Oxford (1998).
- 8. M. Nägele, E. Bakker, E. Pretsch. Anal. Chem. 71, 1041–1048 (1999).